

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING D	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/773,825	07/30/2004		Michael D. Witten	8075	
7590 04/18/2006			EXAMINER BRITTAIN, JAMES R		
Michael D. Witten					
598 Eaton Ave Dinuba, CA 93618			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,				3677	
			DATE MAILED: 04/18/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/773,825	WITTEN, MICHAEL D.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		James R. Brittain	3677				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHICHEVER IS LONG - Extensions of time may be averafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the set of t	GER, FROM THE MAILING DA vailable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 he mailing date of this communication. fied above, the maximum statutory period w or extended period for reply will, by statute, ice later than three months after the mailing	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH (ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE and ate of this communication, even if timely filed	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to co	ommunication(s) filed on <u>30 Ja</u>	anuary 2006.					
2a) This action is FII	·						
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4a) Of the above 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>2</u> is/are 7) ☐ Claim(s)	e rejected.						
Application Papers							
10) The drawing(s) fi Applicant may not Replacement draw	request that any objection to the wing sheet(s) including the correct	er. epted or b) objected to by the legislation or b) objected to by the legislation of the legislation of the legislation is required if the drawing(s) is observed in the legislation of the legislation o	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s)		·					
1) Notice of References Cite		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
	Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) atement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/773,825

Art Unit: 3677

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The amendments to the specification received January 30, 2006 have been entered.

Specification Objections

The specification is objected to because the sections of the application are out of the proper order. The section applicant titles "Description of Drawings" is misplaced in its current location after the "Detailed Description" and must be placed after the "Brief Summary of Invention" and before the "Detailed Description". The "Description of Drawings" on the last line should have the reference to (F5) and the instruction to cancel a drawing removed. The section found on page 8, titled "Discloser of Invention" is objected to as not be a proper section heading. The subject matter of this section should be in the summary of the invention and this section deleted. The spelling of "disclosure" in the title --Abstract of the Disclosure-- needs correction. Correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/773,825 Page 3

Art Unit: 3677

The dimension of 1/4" for both the plastic sides (line 6) and the channel (line 6) is new matter as the specification as filed on February 9, 2004 does not indicate this dimension, but rather 3/16".

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 2 is rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

The claim(s) are narrative in form and replete with indefinite and functional or operational language. The structure which goes to make up the device must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner to present a complete operative device. The scope of the claim is unclear, but it is only drawn to "A plastic embodiment" (line 1) with an intended use on a three-point seat belt, but the claim construction does not include the three-point seat belt. The claim(s) must be in one sentence form only. Note the format of the claims in the patent(s) cited.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. §103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Linden (US 4893835).

Linden (figures 22-27) teaches plastic channel shaped sleeve structure used as a deflector on a three-point seat belt. There is also a channel. The only difference is in the dimensions. However, the device of Linden is used in the environment of a three-point seat belt and the particular claimed dimensions are a matter of a particular choice and the device and configuration of the deflector of Linden performs the identical function. The claim construction does not preclude other structures from being part of the channel shaped sleeve and the device of Linden while having added features still provides the sleeve structure that renders obvious applicant's claim.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's amendment has necessitated the new ground of rejection.

Conclusion

This action is a **final rejection** and is intended to close the prosecution of this application. Applicant's reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to this action is limited either to an appeal to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences or to an amendment complying with the requirements set forth below.

If applicant should desire to appeal any rejection made by the examiner, a Notice of Appeal must be filed within the period for reply identifying the rejected claim or claims appealed. The Notice of Appeal must be accompanied by the required appeal fee of \$250.

If applicant should desire to file an amendment, entry of a proposed amendment after final rejection cannot be made as a matter of right unless it merely cancels claims or complies with a formal requirement made earlier. Amendments touching the merits of the application

which otherwise might not be proper may be admitted upon a showing a good and sufficient reasons why they are necessary and why they were not presented earlier.

A reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection must include the appeal from, or cancellation of, each rejected claim. The filing of an amendment after final rejection, whether or not it is entered, does not stop the running of the statutory period for reply to the final rejection unless the examiner holds the claims to be in condition for allowance. Accordingly, if a Notice of Appeal has not been filed properly within the period for reply, or any extension of this period obtained under either 37 CFR 1.136(a) or (b), the application will become abandoned.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James R. Brittain whose telephone number is (571) 272-7065. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 5:30-2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, J. J. Swann can be reached on (571) 272-7075. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

James R. Brittain
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3677